



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,020	06/24/2003	Frederic Reblewski	003921.00135	7641
22907	7590	07/31/2009	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			SAXENA, AKASH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,020	REBLEWSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AKASH SAXENA	2128	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AKASH SAXENA.

(3) Ken Smolik (Reg# 44344).

(2) Kamini Shah (SPE).

(4) Richard Dyer (Reg# 60328)/Thomas Evans (Reg# 35805.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Swoboda, Litt.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed how Swoboda teaches exporting trace by trace compression, and how the instant application differs as filtering what is exported (See Fig.4A-B & 5's) contrary to Swoboda. Applicant were also pointed to USPAT 5796939 & 5884066. Applicant's agreed to amend the claims to specifically recite what makes the "data of interest" important in view of Fig. 4 & 5's.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Kamini S Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128
--	---